



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,938	05/21/1999	MICHAEL THORSEN	1685	8498
21834	7590	07/02/2004	EXAMINER	
BECK AND TYSVER 2900 THOMAS AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 100 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55419			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175	

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/316,938	THORSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sam Rimell	2175	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 19-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 19-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.



**SAM RIMELL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

#### BEST AVAILABLE COPY

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

Preliminary Note: Applicant's submission of Request for Continued Examination dated May 10, 2004 is hereby acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,012,035) in view of Tarter et al. (U.S. Patent 5,550,734).

Claim 19: Freeman Jr. et al. discloses a system in which multiple providers (physicians) provide medical services to multiple patients. The providers report the services they rendered to a first entity, which is the insurance company of Freeman, Jr. et al. (col. 2, line 14; col 2, lines 41-43).

Freeman Jr. et al. differs from the claims in that it does not disclose the first entity (insurance company) reporting to a sponsor. However, Tarter et al. at col. 4, lines 36-44 describes a sponsor in the form of an employer (a commercial bank) which sponsors an insurance plan for its employees, establishes the insurance policy and pays the premiums for the policy. The entity would thus report to the sponsor on a periodic basis indicating the aggregate amount owed by the sponsor (premiums due) for the services rendered by the entity (insurance coverage). The insurance plan is "self funded by the sponsor" in the sense that the sponsor pays the funds for the premiums due. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Freeman Jr. et al. to include an employer as a sponsor of the described insurance plan as an efficient design for group health insurance coverage as taught by Tarter et al.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

Art Unit: 2175

The entity (insurance company) reports to the patient on a periodic basis of the amount owed by the patient for provider services not covered by the insurance (col. 8, lines 30-35 of Freeman Jr. et al.).

The entity (insurance company) collects payments from the sponsor (employer) in the form of insurance premiums.

The entity (insurance company) pays the provider for the services rendered regardless of any payments by the patient to the entity. This is because the entity is an insurance company making insurance payments on the basis of an insurance contract established and paid for by an employer.

Additional payments may be collected from the patient for the patient's share of uncovered claims (col. 8, lines 33-36 of Freeman Jr. et al.).

Claim 20: The entity collects a lump sum payment from the sponsor in the form of insurance premiums.

Claim 21: See remarks for claim 19.

Claim 22: The "explanation of benefits" sent to the patient and described at col. 8, line 32 of Freeman et al. reads as the "plain language description of services rendered".

Claim 23: See remarks for claim 19. The "administrator" is equivalent to the "entity" of claim 19 and reads as the insurance company of Freeman, Jr. et al. The combination of Freeman Jr. et al. and Tartar et al. teach that the statements are submitted to the patient by the "administrator" or "entity" and not from the sponsor (the employer) or the health care provider.

Application/Control Number: 09/316,938  
Art Unit: 2175

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.



Sam Rimell  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2175

BEST AVAILABLE COPY